UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/938,163 | 08/23/2001 | Michael Meiresonne | MEI03 P-300 | 1287 |
| | 7590 02/10/200 ELD COOPER DEW | EXAMINER | | |
| 695 KENMOOR, S.E. | | | NGUYEN, MERILYN P | |
| P O BOX 2567 GRAND RAPII | DS, MI 49501 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2163 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/10/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 09/938,163 | MEIRESONNE, MICHAEL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Merilyn P. Nguyen | 2163 | | |

| | Merilyn P. Nguyen | 2163 | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 30 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | on. | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1 | | FIRST REFET WAS FIL | LED WITHIN TWO | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>30 January 2009</u>. A bri the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), of appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4 | 1.37(e)), to avoid disr | nissal of the | | |
| AMENDMENTS 2. The prepared emendment/s) filed often a final rejection to | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of finally reje | cted claims. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21 See attached Notice of Non-Co | mnliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324) | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | inpliant / incliantone (i | 102 02+). | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | l be entered and an ex | κplanation of | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ll and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| /don_wong/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2163 | | | | | |